

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,485	08/07/2003	Alejandro Wiechers	Viechers 200207415-1 1		
22879 HEWLETT PA	7590 02/07/2008 ACKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER			
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			MILIA, I	MILIA, MARK R	
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	. •	2625		
					
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/07/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/635,485	WIECHERS, ALEJANDRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark R. Milia	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ja	nuary 2008.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 12-28 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 12-28 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	*				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction of the drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the backers or b) objected to by the backers. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

10/635,485 Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment was received on 1/2/08 and has been entered and made of record. Currently, claims 1-5 and 12-28 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 10-17 of the remarks, filed 1/2/08, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-5, 12, 14, 20-23, and 26 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 12-17, and 20-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0044868 to Roztocil et al.

10/635,485

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 1, Roztocil discloses a method of managing workflow in a commercial printing environment including a designer location and a print service provider location, said method comprising: creating at the designer location a digital file that represents an image to be printed (see Fig. 1 and paragraph 22), receiving at the designer location from the print service provider location real time configuration information regarding a print production device at the print service provider location (see paragraphs 23, 32 lines 22-26, 45 lines 1-6, 46 lines 1-16, and 52), creating at the designer location a high performance file using the real time configuration information from the print service provider location, the high performance file comprising the digital file that represents the image to be printed and processing instructions that indicate how a print job associated with the high performance file is to be processed (see Fig. 1 and paragraphs 23, 25, and 27-28), submitting the high performance file from the designer location to the print service provider location via an electronic network (see paragraphs 22 lines 8-13, 25 lines 9-11, 29, and 32 lines 22-26), verifying at the print service provider location that print the print job will be processed at the print service provider location as indicated by the processing instructions contained in the high performance file and, if not, correcting the high performance file to ensure processing substantially as designed (see paragraphs 29-30, 45-48, and 56), and performing at the print service provider location automated printing on a digital printer using, if created, the corrected high performance file, else using the verified high performance file (see Fig. 1 and paragraphs 29-30, 33 lines 2-4, 45 lines 1-6, 46-48, and 56).

10/635,485

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 20, Roztocil discloses a system for managing workflow in a commercial printing environment, said system comprising: a designer location configured to: create a digital file that represents an image to be printed (see Fig. 1 and paragraph 22), receive from a print service provider location real time configuration information regarding a print production device at the print service provider location (see paragraphs 23, 32 lines 22-26, 45 lines 1-6, 46 lines 1-16, and 52), create a high performance file using the real time configuration information from the print service. provider location, the high performance file comprising the digital file that represents the image to be printed and processing instructions that indicate how a print job associated with the high performance file is to be processed (see Fig. 1 and paragraphs 23, 25, and 27-28), and submit the high performance file to the print service provider location via an electronic network (see paragraphs 22 lines 8-13, 25 lines 9-11, 29, and 32 lines 22-26), and a print service provider location configured to: verify that the print job will be processed at the print service provider location as indicated by the processing instructions contained in the high performance file and, if not, correct the high performance file to ensure processing substantially as designed (see paragraphs 29-30. 45-48, and 56), and perform automated printing on a digital printer using, if created, the corrected high performance file, else using the verified high performance file (see Fig. 1 and paragraphs 29-30, 33 lines 2-4, 45 lines 1-6, 46-48, and 56).

Regarding claims 2 and 21, Roztocil further discloses wherein verifying comprises performing automated remote printing setup (see paragraph 29).

Application/Control Number:

10/635,485 Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claims 3 and 22, Roztocil further discloses wherein performing

automated printing comprises performing automated printing in accordance with printing instructions contained within the high performance file (see paragraph 25 lines 29-36).

Regarding claims 4 and 23, Roztocil further discloses wherein correcting includes reading printing instructions prepared at the designer location and contained within the high performance file, and preparing appropriate corresponding instructions for the digital printer at the print service provider location (see paragraphs 30, 45-48, and 56).

Regarding claims 5 and 24, Roztocil further discloses wherein correcting further comprises updating a job ticket corresponding to the high performance file (see paragraph 46).

Regarding claim 12, Roztocil further discloses wherein verifying comprises automatically ensuring the digital file and instruction files are contained within the high performance file (see paragraphs 29-30, 45-48, and 56).

Regarding claims 13 and 25, Roztocil further discloses wherein verifying comprises automatically checking to see if a digital printer selected at the designer location is available (see paragraph 45 lines 1-6).

Regarding claims 14 and 26, Roztocil further discloses wherein verifying comprises automatically checking to see if there are any errors in the high performance file that would prevent the print job from being completed properly (see paragraphs 29-30, 45-48, and 56).

Regarding claims 15 and 27, Roztocil further discloses wherein correcting the high performance file comprises adding missing instructions or revising instructions

contained within the high performance file to account for changes in equipment (see Fig. 1 and paragraphs 29-30, 33 lines 2-4, 45 lines 1-6, 46-48, and 56).

Regarding claim 16, Roztocil further discloses wherein correcting the high performance file comprises performing a new imposition setup to change imposition instructions contained in the high performance file (see paragraph 30).

Regarding claim 17, Roztocil further disclose wherein correcting the high performance file comprises performing a new finishing setup and change finishing instructions contained in the high performance file (see paragraphs 45-48 and 56).

Regarding claim 28, Roztocil further discloses wherein the print service provider location is configured to correct the high performance file by performing at least one of a new imposition setup, a new finishing setup, a new packaging setup, and a new shipping setup and change instructions contained in the high performance file (see paragraphs 30, 45-48, and 56).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roztocil as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kemp (US 2002/0078160).

Application/Control Number:

10/635,485

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 18, Roztocil discloses correcting the high performance file comprises adding missing instructions or revising instructions contained within the high performance file to account for changes in equipment (see Fig. 1 and paragraphs 29-30, 33 lines 2-4, 45 lines 1-6, 46-48, and 56).

Roztocil does not disclose expressly wherein correcting the high performance file comprises performing a new packaging setup and change packaging instructions contained in the high performance file.

Kemp discloses wherein correcting the high performance file comprises performing a new packaging setup and change packaging instructions contained in the high performance file (see paragraphs 64, 67, and 84-85).

Regarding claim 19, Roztocil discloses correcting the high performance file comprises adding missing instructions or revising instructions contained within the high performance file to account for changes in equipment (see Fig. 1 and paragraphs 29-30, 33 lines 2-4, 45 lines 1-6, 46-48, and 56).

Roztocil does not disclose expressly wherein correcting the high performance file comprises performing a new shipping setup and change shipping instructions contained in the high performance file.

Kemp discloses wherein correcting the high performance file comprises performing a new shipping setup and change shipping instructions contained in the high performance file (see paragraphs 64, 67, and 84-85).

Roztocil & Kemp are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, printing based on printer capabilities.

Application/Control Number:

10/635,485

Art Unit: 2625

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the correcting of a print job by updating a job ticket with new finishing, shipping, or packaging options, as described by Kemp, with the system of Roztocil.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to ensure that a print job is executed by a printing device even if certain print options/settings cannot be performed by the printing device so that the user will still obtain some form of printed output.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kemp with Roztocil to obtain the invention as specified in claims 18 and 19.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark R. Milia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7408. The examiner can normally be reached M-F 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Twyler L. Haskins can be reached at (571) 272-7406. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark R. Milia Examiner

Art Unit 2625

MRM

TWYLER DAMB HASK IN